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Summary

The four species described as members of the Phalangiinae from the Neotropics are discussed. *Opilio chickeringi* Roewer, from Costa Rica, is redescribed and designated the type species of the new genus *Lanthanopilio*. The male and female genitalia are illustrated for the first time. *Opilio mexicanus* Roewer and *Opilio gertschi* Roewer, from Mexico and Costa Rica respectively, are transferred to the genus *Metopilio* Roewer. The similarity of *Opilio bolivianus* Roewer to African species is noted and the collection locality is questioned.

Introduction

During examinations of material from the American Museum of Natural History of the genus *Metopilio* Roewer, we discovered a misidentified male specimen of a remarkable new genus. The new genus, *Lanthanopilio*, is a member of the Phalangiinae. The Phalangiinae and Oligolophinae are herein considered the same (see Spoek, 1963; Cokendolpher, 1981b), whereas the *Metopilio* assemblage is considered a distinct and separate subfamily (see Cokendolpher, 1984). New World representatives of the Phalangiinae are relatively few and decrease in numbers as one travels south. Of the 14 described species known from the U.S.A and Canada, five are probably introductions from Europe (Lindroth, 1957; Gruber & Hunt, 1973; Bell, 1974; Bragg & Holmberg, 1975), and three are synonyms of already described North American species (unpubl. data). Roewer (1956) described four species of "Opilio" from Mexico, Costa Rica and Bolivia. Our studies reveal that one of these species (*O. chickeringi*), known up to now by one female, is conspecific with the American Museum of Natural History specimen mentioned above. Furthermore, our studies revealed that two of Roewer's "Opilio" spp. from Mexico (*O. mexicanus*) and Costa Rica (*O. gertschi*) are misplaced, resulting in the new genus being the only Central American representative of the Phalangiinae. "Opilio bolivianus" Roewer, 1956, bears little resemblance to the new genus. It is a true member of the Phalangiinae, but appears to share more characters with African species than it does with any New World group. It may prove to be mislabelled and not a member of the Neotropical fauna. Roewer (1956) lists the collection locality as "Bolivien (genae Loc.?)."

Thus of the four species described as members of the Phalangiinae from the Neotropics, two are misplaced and should be in a different subfamily (genus *Metopilio*), one is a member of the new genus *Lanthanopilio* and one is close to the African genera *Cristina* Loman and *Rhampsinitus* Simon.

Methods

All anatomical measurements are in millimetres and were obtained by using a binocular microscope equipped with an ocular micrometer as outlined by Cokendolpher (1981a). The genitalia were removed from the body, examined and illustrated and then placed in microvials, which in turn were placed in the specimen vials. The ovipositor was first dehydrated and then examined in 100% clove oil. Prior to rehydration and placement in a microvial, the ovipositor was soaked in absolute ethyl alcohol to remove all traces of clove oil. The penis was immersed in 100% glycerol for study. All illustrations were prepared with the aid of a camera lucida.

"Opilio" bolivianus Roewer

*Opilio bolivianus* Roewer, 1956:277, 284, figs. 100-103.

Material examined: Holotype ♀, paratype ♀ (SMF - RII/8701/293), Bolivia (locality correct?), pre-1955 collection.

Remarks: This species is quite unlike any other in the New World, but appears to be similar to species of the African genera *Cristina* Loman and *Rhampsinitus* Simon. Roewer (1956) does not report leg I of the male to be noticeably incrassate. Unfortunately, all but one femur III of the male and one femur IV

*Reprint requests to J. C. C., Department of Biological Sciences.
of the female are detached and mixed in the type specimen vial. Judging from the comparative lengths of the detached legs with those presently attached to the specimens, leg I of the male is lost. The male chelicerae are attached and do not appear to be enlarged or otherwise modified. The generic placement of this species will have to await much needed revisionary studies of African genera (including descriptions of the genitalia), at which time the type specimens of *O. bolivianus* may prove to be mislabelled African specimens.

**Genus Metopilios Roewer, 1911**

*Type species:* *Phalangium armigerum* F. O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1905, by original designation by Roewer, 1911.

*Diagnosis and comparisons:* *Metopilios*, *Diguetinus* Roewer, *Globipes* Banks, *Eurybunus* Banks, and *Dalquestia* Cokendolpher are members of an as yet unnamed assemblage of subfamilial (maybe familial) rank (Gruber, 1969; Cokendolpher, 1984). *Metopilios* is most similar to *Diguetinus* and they may eventually prove to be synonyms. *Metopilios* differs from *Diguetinus* only by the number of tubercles making up the abdominal rows (Roewer, 1923: figs. 1028, 1030, key to genera pp. 747-750). *Metopilios* and *Diguetinus* differ from the other members of the *Metopilios* assemblage by having the penis alate and by the curved stylus (see Gruber, 1969: figs. 1, 4, 5).

*Remarks:* The genus *Metopilios* is badly in need of revision. The relationships of the species are difficult to interpret at the moment. Most *Metopilios* spp. need to be redescribed, devoting particular attention to the genitalia. To further complicate matters, several species are based on juveniles. There are currently 15 described species known from Mexico to Panama. At least seven new species from the U.S.A. south to Costa Rica await description. Currently, five other described *Metopilios* species from Mexico and Costa Rica are misplaced in *Diguetinus*, *Eurybunus*, *Globipes*, and *Opilio* Herbst. The two species misplaced in *Opilio* will be dealt with, in part, in the following paragraphs.

**Metopilios gertschi** (Roewer) comb. nov.

*Opilio gertschi* Roewer, 1956:277, 287, figs. 119-121.

*Eurybunus* sp. Šilhavý, 1970:173, fig. 11.

*Material examined:* Holotype ♂, paratypes ♀♀ (SMF-RII/3708/179), Hamburg Farm, 20 km N of Siquirres, Limón Province, Costa Rica.

*Remarks:* This species is not related to "Opilio" chickeringi Roewer, as reported by Roewer (1956), but is rather a member of the genus *Metopilios*. The relationship of *M. gertschi* to the other species of "medium sized" *Metopilios* will have to await much needed revisionary studies.

**Metopilios mexicanus** (Roewer) comb. nov.


*Material examined:* Holotype ♂ (SMF-RII/2831/118), Tampico, Tamaulipas, Mexico.

*Remarks:* This species, owing to its large size and the spiny nature of the ocular tubercle, is easily separated from most species of *Metopilios*. At least two undescribed species and *Metopilios cambridgei* Mello-Leitão appear closely related to *M. mexicanus*. For reasons stated above a redescription will not be presented at this time.

**Genus Lanthanopilios** n. gen.


*Type species:* *Opilio chickeringi* Roewer, 1956.

*Derivatio nominis:* The generic name *Lanthanopilios* is masculine in gender and formed from the Greek λανθανω (lanthan) meaning to mistake or escape notice and opilio meaning harvestmen.

*Diagnosis and comparisons:* *Lanthanopilios* differs from all known genera of Phalangiinae by the unique features of the penis. The leathery exoskeleton with rows of large abdominal tubercles will likewise separate this genus from all known Phalangiinae in the New World. The trichoid "setae" (these structures appear to be outgrowths of the truncus, they do not appear to have sockets at their bases) and alate portion (which is membranous, not highly sclerotized) of the penis do not appear homologous with those of the Old World *Opilio saxatilis* C. L. Koch (see Spoek, 1963: figs. 12h-12j; Martens, 1978: figs. 429, 430). The penis stylus is short and thick as in some species of European *Lacinius* Thorell (see Šilhavý, 1956: figs. 347, 348, 357, 359; Martens, 1978: figs. 620, 621). The sclerotized "horns" of the glans are un-
known in any other species of phalangiid. The “alate” portion is also unlike those of any other species known. It resembles the alate portions of some Leiobuninae in that it is not sclerotized and is inflatable. Unfortunately, none of the unusual features of the new genus are shared by other genera, making comparisons difficult. Even under higher magnifications, it could not be determined if the glans was rigidly fixed to the truncus. New material which has not been in alcohol since 1905, may give new clues to the exact nature of the glans-truncus junction.

Some trouble might exist in the separation of juvenile specimens of Metopilio from Lanthanopilio. Assuming juveniles of Lanthanopilio have the same number of tibiae II pseudosegments as adults (as do Metopilio spp.), the juveniles of Lanthanopilio should be easily recognised. Specimens with a single pseudosegment will be Lanthanopilio, whereas those with two to four will be Metopilio.

Description: Medium sized (6.0-7.5 mm in length) harvestmen with leathery exoskeletons; cephalothorax and abdomen fused, junction indicated only by folds in exoskeleton; anterior abdominal tergites and sternites fused, borders indistinct; abdomen with rows of large pointed tubercles, increasing in size anteriorly and medially (Figs. 1, 2). Preocular region with small paired humps, covered with about 15 or 16 pointed tubercles on each side; supracheliceral lamellae smooth. Ocular tubercle low, covered with many pointed tubercles. Scent pores with two darkly pigmented central spots (Fig. 1); openings oval, easily observed from dorsal view, on slightly raised protuberances. Chelicera with small rounded notch on basal segment (Fig. 11); male fingers lacking apophyses. Palpi lacking patellar and tibial apophyses; male tarsi with two rows of denticles ventrally; claws smooth (Fig. 8). Legs short and robust, round in cross section; all femora lacking pseudoarticulatory nodules, shorter than body length; femora I and III shorter than body width; tibiae II with single pseudosegment; all metatarsi lacking segments. Endites of coxae II slanted anteriorly at about 45° to the lip of the genital operculum. Penis with proximal half of truncus with many long trichoid “setae”, a median alate portion (Figs. 3-5), sclerotized “horns” on the glans (Fig. 7), and a short thick stylus (Fig. 6). Ovispositor with 2-2 slit sensilla per side on second furca joint (Fig. 9); seminal receptacle with single large basal lobe (Fig. 10).

Lanthanopilio chickeringi (Roewer) comb. nov. (Figs. 1-11)


Type locality: The U.S. Board on Geographic Names (1956) lists five settlements by the name La Palma: Puntarenas Province 8°40'N-83°27'W; San José Province 9°22'N-83°45'W; Guanacaste Province 10°08'N-85°28'W, 10°17'N-85°04'W, 10°32'N-85°02'W. Selander & Vaurie (1962) list one additional locality in Costa Rica as La Palma: Cartago Province 10°03'N-83°58'W. Roewer (1956) gives no details on the collection and the labels accompanying the holotype do not indicate from which of the settlements the type was collected. The only other collection site is San José. Unfortunately, the U.S. Board on Geographic Names (1956) lists five populated areas in

Figs. 1-2: Lanthanopilio chickeringi (Roewer) male. 1 Dorsal view; 2 Lateral view.
Costa Rica by the name of San José: San José Province 9° 56′N-84° 05′W; Alajuela Province 10° 01′N-84° 24′W, 10° 02′N-84° 30′W, 10° 08′N-84° 24′W, 10° 57′N-85° 14′W. As the San José collection was made in 1905, we are assuming it was from the largest city and capital: San José, 9° 56′N-84° 05′W, 1100 m elevation. If we are correct in our assumption regarding San José, then the type locality probably is the locality nearest the capital city: La Palma, Cartago Province (about 15 km NE of San José), 10°03′N-83°58′W, 1500 m elevation. Further collections from 1000-1500 m elevation around the Cordillera Central should provide definitive data.

**Male** (Figs. 1-8, 11): Total length 6.09, greatest width 2.70, maximum height (excluding abdominal tubercles) 2.60. Ocular tubercle length 0.32, width 0.35, height 0.15, distance from anterior edge of cephalothorax 0.32; covered with 19 scattered pointed tubercles; light rusty-brown colour with dark brown rings around eyes. Body leathery, coarsely granulated; granules sharply pointed on dorsum, short and rounded on venter and more widely spaced (granule interdistance about diameter of granule); sides with considerable reduction in granules, leathery, sclerite borders indistinct (Figs. 1, 2). Cephalothorax and abdomen fused, junction indicated only by folds in exoskeleton, granules evenly spaced throughout junction. Abdominal tergites 1-7 fused, 8 free; sternites 1-6 fused, borders indistinct, sternite 7 and anal operculum free; dorsum with nine rows of large

---

Figs. 3-11: *Lanthanopillo chickeringi* (Roewer). 3 Ventral view of penis; 4 Lateral view of penis; 5 Dorsal view of penis; 6 Penis stylius; 7 Lateral view of penis glans; 8 Lateral view of male palpus; 9 Ovipositor; 10 Seminal receptacle; 11 Lateral view of male chelicera. Scale lines: a = 0.05 mm for fig. 10, 0.2 mm for fig. 9; b = 0.5 mm for figs. 3-5, 0.1 mm for fig. 7; c = 0.05 mm for fig 6, 0.5 mm for figs. 8 and 11.
pointed tubercles. Genital operculum length 1.78, width at base 1.67, width at neck 0.69; finely granulate ventrally, middle portions of lateral sides coarsely granulate; with few scattered setae, no spines. Palpi with few scattered tubercles (Fig. 8); tibiae ventrolaterally with slightly irregular row (distal 3/4 of tibiae) of eight teeth; tarsi ventrally with 25 teeth in mesal row and 14 teeth in lateral row. Palpal segment lengths: femur 0.64, patella 0.44, tibia 0.56, tarsus 1.00. Chelicerae smooth except for few scattered setae (Fig. 11). Leg coxae finely granulate with few scattered pointed tubercles on distolateral borders, tubercles not forming distinct rows; anterior of all coxae distally and posterior of coxae I with short rounded apophysis; coxae I, II, and III dorsally with strong pointed central spine (lacking on IV). All femora with well developed pointed tubercles; tubercles irregularly spaced over most femora, forming longitudinal rows in some places. Patellae and tibiae of all legs (much less on II) with similarly pointed tubercles. Femora I-IV lengths (respectively): 1.74, 3.76, 1.93, 3.00; tibiae I-IV lengths (respectively): 1.53, 3.00, 1.45, 1.92. Body and legs light rusty brown; dorsal abdominal pattern dark brown with abdominal tubercles light creamy brown at tips; some creamy yellowish-brown markings on lateral surface of abdomen and tibiae II pseudosegment. Penis dark (transparent) brown, setae slightly lighter in colour (Figs. 3-7).

Female (Figs. 9, 10): Body more robust, abdomen not as pointed as in male; total length 7.12, greatest width 3.70, maximum height (excluding abdominal tubercles) 3.25; patterns more distinct than on male, colours clearer more amber (probably owing to age and better state of preservation of female). Pedipalps more slender with fewer tubercles, no teeth on tibiae or tarsi. Ocular tubercle centrally creamy white, concolourous with the dorsal abdominal tubercle tips, length 0.36, width 0.34, height 0.25, distance from anterior edge of cephalothorax 0.37. Genital operculum length 1.93, width at base 2.00, width at neck 0.81; with strongly depressed groove at neck. Depression possibly an abnormality, externally setae face into depression, dorsal surface of genital operculum above depression with group of irregular sclerotized nodes. Posterior edge of last sternite noticeably indented to receive anal operculum lip. Palpal segment lengths: femur 0.68, patella 0.44, tibia 0.60, tarsus 1.17. Femora I-IV lengths (respectively): 1.80, 3.78, 1.93, 2.96; tibiae I-IV lengths (respectively): 1.54, 2.95, 1.41, 2.00. Ovipositor (Fig. 9) of 24+ rings (proximal end broken) and 3-segmented furca; furca segment II with 2-2 slit sensilla per side; first eight segments (counting from furca) covered with relatively long appressed setae, segments 9 to 24 with 4-6 short (½ length of other setae) erect setae per segment. Seminal receptacle as in Fig. 10.
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